

μSPEed | Application Note 2018

Combining μSPEed and ePrep for River Water Trace Pesticide Analysis without Surrogate Standards

Pub No. 96-10012 Rev 02

INTRODUCTION

The simplicity, accuracy and precision of ePrep's μSPEed cartridges combined with ePrep Sample Preparation Workstation (figure 1) can make the sample preparation processes easier, faster and reproducible.

Typically, a surrogate standard is added to the sample at the beginning of the preparation procedure to account for analyte loss or degradation. This is particularly important for analytes with limited insolubility, stability and unknown interaction with solvents used during the sample preparation process.

In this work, we proposed a new method to avoid samples losses, particularly for new and emerging compounds where surrogates and deuterated compounds are not available.

If the entire standards and sample are taken through identical sample preparation procedures, including SPE, recoveries can be accurately determined for sample analysis eliminating need for surrogate. However, to do this Solid Phase Extraction (SPE) techniques must be robust, accurate and reproducible.

μSPEed cartridges (Figure 2) are capable of this level of performance, due to their high extraction efficiency.

This application note describes a μSPE method for the accurate determination of organochloride pesticides in river water without the need for expensive and difficult to source deuterated surrogate standards. Spiked Pure Water, River Water and ppt level standards and samples are analysed.

AIM

The aim of this work, is to develop a sample preparation workflow for the analysis of trace organochloride pesticides in sedimentary river water where surrogate or deuterated standard are not available.

The method includes several steps such as preparation of standards for instrument calibration, μSPE calibration standards, blanks, spiked blanks and sedimentary river water to ensure and validate the accuracy and reproducibility of the method.




Figure 1 ePrep Sample Preparation Workstation




Figure 2 μSPEed Cartridge

PROCEDURE

Automated Liquid Handling

Using a fully automated liquid handling method on the ePrep sample preparation workstation a 6 point calibration curve at 0, 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50 ppb was prepared along with check standards and spiked/unspiked sedimentary river water samples for analysis. All the dispense heights were set at 25mm to avoid any droplet formation. Aspiration speed at 2000 uL/min and dispense speed at 100 uL/min.

μSPEed Extraction Workflow

Aqueous samples were loaded onto μSPEed cartridges, C18RPS-3μm/120Å (ePrep), washed with ultrapure water and then eluted using 50-100 μL of IPA. Conditioning and equilibration steps carried out at 200 μL/min flow rate, all other steps at 60 μL/min. Operational workflow is described in Figure 3.

Recoveries were determined by loading 10 cartridges with 25ppb water samples and eluted.

The same SPE treatment was used for Spiked and Unspiked water samples.

Chromatography

Instrument: **Thermo Scientific Trace 1300 GC**

Column: DB-5MS UI Length: 25m Diameter: 0.25 mm Film: 0.25μM

Split flow: 50 ml/min

Splitless time: 1.00 min

Purgeflow: 5 ml/min

Temperature profile: 25°C/min rise to 9min, 9°C/min until 25min, hold for 5min

Detection:

Detector: **Thermo ISQ QD Single Quadrapole**

MS transfer line temperature: 270

Ion source temperature: 280

Scan range: 50 - 500

Injection volume: 1uL




Figure 3 SPE workflow

RESULTS

Instrument Calibration

A 50ppb standard solution containing twenty different pesticides was used for instrument calibration. Each Pesticide compound was identified from 3 major ions and compared to library reference spectra. Quantification was based on abundance of the most common ion. Figure 4 shows a representative chromatogram with identified compounds and an example separation.




Figure 4 Identification of standard pesticides from a 50ppb standard by GC-MS

Instrument Precision was determined through 7 consecutive injections of the check standard and accuracy was determined through the calibration curve linearity. Results are summarized in Table 1 and example Calibration curves of 2 compounds (Heptachlor epoxide and b-BHC, respectively) are shown in Figure 5,

Table 1 Reproducibility for the 20 target compounds analysed by 7 injections into GC-MS

Compound	d-BHC	b-BHC	a-BHC	g-BHC	Hetachlor	Aldrin	Heptachlor epoxide	A-chlordane	g-Chlordane	4-4' DDE	Dieldrin	Endrin	Endosulfan I & 2	4-4' DDD	Endrin aldehyde	Endosulfan sulfate	4-4' DDT	Endrin ketone	Methoxychor
R ²	0.996	0.998	0.995	0.993	0.990	0.994	0.994	0.992	0.998	0.988	0.995	0.979	0.988	0.982	0.962	0.978	0.981	0.989	0.962
%RSD	3.1	3.7	4.9	7.4	2.6	3.5	2.9	3.3	2.5	2.7	2.9	5.0	3.0	2.8	2.3	3.4	3.2	2.9	4.2




Figure 5 Calibration curves of 2 pesticides standards (a) Heptachlor epoxide and (b) b-BHC Analysed by GC-MS

SPE Validation:

Validation of the SPE process was carried out, by comparison of 2 calibration curves, obtained from the external calibration method and after the SPE process. Figure 2 shows the results obtained from the calibration curve carried out through SPE process. These results demonstrate that the SPE process is still accurate and reproducible for quantification purposes.




Table 2 Calibration and recovery results carried through SPE process

	d-BHC	b-BHC	a-BHC	g-BHC	Hetachlor	Aldrin	Heptachlor eploxide	A-chlordane	g-Chlordane	4-4' DDE	Dieldrin	Endrin	Endosulfan I & 2	4-4' DDD	Endrin aldehyde	Endosulfan sulfate	4-4' DDT	Endrin keytone	Methoxychor
SPE R ²	0.948	0.930	0.943	0.937	0.940	0.945	0.946	0.933	0.936	0.946	0.950	0.928	0.932	0.941	0.901	0.907	0.948	0.929	0.908
SPE %RSD	7.6	6.9	7.9	6.3	6.2	3.8	5.2	7.2	6.5	8.3	4.3	4.7	9.4	8.0	7.6	8.8	8.5	4.4	11.5

Method recovery was investigated by determining a spiked standard in an ultrapure water sample (50ppb for each pesticide); the recovery range was from 86 to 103% demonstrating that this SPE method provides good selectivity and suitability for the analysis of pesticides in water samples.




Figure 6: Average recoveries from 4 standards diluted in ultrapure water each loaded on μ SPEed cartridges and analysed by GC-MS

Trace Level Concentration:

Identification of pesticide compounds in water samples at significantly lower levels was also attempted. A 10 times (1 x 500µL Load and 50µL Elute) concentration factor was used to detect samples spiked with 1ppb of pesticides and another sample was spiked with 100ppt of pesticides and concentrated to 100 times (10 x 500µL {5mL} Load and 50µL Elute) its original concentration. Figures 7 and 8, show the chromatographic separation of the 19 target compounds at 1ppb and 100ppt level in 2 water samples, respectively. The implementation of multiple load and elute passes may increase the effectiveness of the concentration and may allow for reliable detection at the ppt range.

Table 3 Recovery of pesticides from concentrated samples diluted to 1ppb and 100ppt in water samples and analysed by GC-MS

	% Recovery of 10x concentration	% Recovery of 100x concentration
d-BHC	113	94
b-BHC	130	111
a-BHC	119	102
g-BHC	105	91
Heptachlor	58	41
Aldrin	49	34
Heptachlor epoxide	64	44
A-chlordane	53	34
g-Chlordane	53	36
4-4' DDE	58	51
Dieldrin	53	32
Endrin	62	48
Endosulfan I & 2	69	53
4-4' DDD	54	42
Endrin aldehyde	81	64
Endosulfan sulfate	91	76
4-4' DDT	57	
Endrin keytone	86	72
Methoxychor	66	58




Figure 7 Chromatogram of a 1ppb water sample concentrated x10 (500µL Load to 50µL Elute) to 10ppb analyzed by GC-MS




Figure 8 Chromatogram of a 100ppt water sample concentrated x100 (10x500μL Load to 50μL Elute) to 10ppb analyzed GC-MS

Application of the developed methodology to a sedimentary river water sample


A sedimentary river water sample Figure 9 was used unfiltered and untreated when passed through μSPEed C18 Cartridges for pesticide trapping. Figure 10 shows sedimentary deposits left in the μSPEed cartridge following load and elution of pesticides, demonstrating that the SPE method can be used without any filtering before trapping and clean up.

This method was tested against dirty sedimentary river water samples. These samples had no further clean up procedure other than the SPE method. The resulting chromatogram in Figure 11 show no interference form humus/sedimentary components on GC/MS analysis of the μSPEed elution of pesticides.

Recoveries of the 20 spiked compounds (see Table 4) are lower in sedimentary river water than the ones found in a clean water sample, due to suspected adsorption of the sedimentary components in the μSPE cartridges.

Table 4 Average recoveries from spiked sedimentary river water samples

	% Recovery
d-BHC	72
b-BHC	71
a-BHC	97
g-BHC	72
Hetachlor	69
Aldrin	75
Heptachlor epoxide	68
A-chlordane	71
g-Chlordane	66
4-4' DDE	72
Dieldrin	70
Endrin	74
Endosulfan I & 2	73
4-4' DDD	69
Endrin aldehyde	77
Endosulfan sulfate	75
4-4' DDT	76
Endrin keytone	77
Methoxychor	74






Figure 11 Chromatogram of a Spiked sedimentary river water sample EIC

CONCLUSION

μ SPEed cartridges can concentrate large sample volumes and elute with minimal volumes resulting in substantial concentration factors for trace analysis. Also, μ SPEed's 3 μ m particle sorbents can provide a "one-shot" sample preparation technique by cleaning up and concentrating to make ready for chromatography without the need for additional filtering, centrifuging and potentially blowdown.

This application shows that concentration factors of 100+ are possible using μ SPEed cartridges, enabling the limit of detection for this analysis in the ppt level.

By automating μ SPEed cartridges on the ePrep Sample Preparation Workstation, calibration curves, samples and spike samples can be easily prepared as a single sample set. By introducing a calibration curve spiked to the matrix of interest and then following through with the entire sample prep procedure, you can effectively calibrate your detection to account for any effects that the matrix may introduce.

This Application Note also shows that μ SPEed can offer an alternative strategy for quantifying analytes where surrogate or deuterated standards are not available. Calibration Standards are passed through μ SPEed prior to construction of the calibration curve and may be applied to detection of the ever-growing list of pollutants in both environmental and food samples.



EPREP WORKFLOW

1. Instrument Calibration Standards, 50, 100, 150, 200, 250ppb {1.5mL Vial} Pesticide Std [x1] { Add Reagent: 300uL of IPA (for needle dipping)
 - a. Serial Dispense: Start = 50, Increment =50 (50, 100, 150, 200 and 250uL) of 200ppb Pesticide Std [Asp: Auto@75uL/sec, Disp: 25mm@60uL/sec]
 - b. Make up to Volume: 1000uL IPA [Asp: Auto@75uL/sec, Disp: 25mm@60uL/sec]

2. μ SPEd Calibration Standards, 50, 100, 150, 200, 250ppb {1.5mL Vial} Pesticide Std [x2] {Task Group #1-3}
 - a. Add Reagent: 300uL of Water (for needle dipping)
 - b. Serial Dispense: Start = 50, Increment =50 (50, 100, 150, 200 and 250uL) of 200ppb Pesticide Std [Asp: Auto@75uL/sec, Disp: 25mm@60uL/sec]
 - c. Make up to Volume: 1000uL Water [Asp: Auto@75uL/sec, Disp: 25mm@60uL/sec]

3. Spike Pure Water [x2] {Task Group #4}
 - a. Reagent: 300uL of Pure Water (for needle dipping)
 - b. Add Reagent: 125uL of 200ppb Pesticide Std [Asp: Auto@75uL/sec, Disp: 25mm@60uL/sec]
 - c. Make up to Volume: 1000uL Pure Water [Asp: Auto@75uL/sec, Disp: 25mm@60uL/sec]

4. Spiked River Water [x3] {Task Group #7}
 - a. Reagent: 300uL of River Water (for needle dipping)
 - b. Add Reagent: 125uL of 200ppb Pesticide Std [Asp: Auto@75uL/sec, Disp: 25mm@60uL/sec]
 - c. Make up to Volume: 1000uL River Water [Asp: Auto@75uL/sec, Disp: 25mm@60uL/sec]

5. μ SPEd (Note: No Needle Dipping) {Task Group 9-15}
 - a. μ SPEd Activate: 2 x 200uL IPA
 - b. μ SPEd Condition: 1 x 100uL Pure Water
 - c. μ SPEd Load: X x 500uL Standards, Sample (Waste, 25mm, Asp=75@60uL/sec, Disp: 16.7@60uL/sec)
 - d. μ SPEd Wash: 100uL water
 - e. μ SPEd Elute: 100uL IPA (25mm, Asp=75@60uL/sec, Disp: 16.7@60uL/sec)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Matthew Diplock, Prof Philip Doble¹,

¹University of Technology, Sydney - School of Mathematical and Physical Sciences, Faculty of Science.

REFERENCE

USEPA, EPA. "METHOD 3500C ORGANIC EXTRACTION AND SAMPLE PREPARATION." *Environmental Protection Agency, USA* (2007).

USEPA, EPA. "EPA Method 3535A (SW-846): Solid-Phase Extraction" *Environmental Protection Agency, USA* (2007).

USEPA, EPA. "Method 8081B. Organochlorine pesticides by gas chromatography." *Environmental Protection Agency, USA* (2007).

μSPEED ORDERING INFORMATION

μSPEed Cartridges (valve)

Part No	Code	Sorbent Description
Silica Based		
01-10110	μSPEed, C18RPS-3μm/120Å (Pkt 10)	3μm/ 120Å ODS spherical silica packing with high acidic resistance suitable for general organic compound applications
01-10111	μSPEed, C18RPS-3μm/120Å (Pkt 50)	
01-10115	μSPEed, Silica-3μm/120Å (Pkt 10)	3μm/120Å spherical bare silica packing. High purity silica for normal and HILIC applications
01-10116	μSPEed, Silica-3μm/120Å (Pkt 50)	
Speciality Silica Based		
01-10117	μSPEed, WAX-3μm/120Å (Pkt 10)	3μm/120Å APS spherical silica packing
01-10118	μSPEed, PFAS-50-3μm/120Å (Pkt 10)	3μm/120Å (50% WAX) PFAS spherical silica packing
01-10119	μSPEed, PFAS-75-3μm/120Å (Pkt 10)	3μm/120Å (75% WAX) PFAS spherical silica packing
Carbon		
01-10135	μSPEed, 3μm/250Å μCARB (Pkt 10)	3μm/250Å glassy carbon packing, similar to Hypercarb®
Customisable Chemistry (silica)		
01-10185	μSPEed, Cxyl-3μm (Pkt 10)	3μm customisable spherical inert silica packing
Polymer Based		
01-10149	μSPEed, PS/DVB -3μm/ 300Å (Pkt 50)	μSPEed, 3μm/ 300Å spherical, crosslinked polystyrene divinyl benzene
01-10150	μSPEed, PS/DVB -3μm/ 300Å (Pkt 10)	
01-10151	μSPEed, PS/DVB Phenyl RP-3μm/ 300Å (Pkt 10)	μSPEed, 3μm/ 300Å Phenyl (RP) spherical, crosslinked polystyrene divinyl benzene
01-10155	μSPEed, PS/DVB SAX-3μm/ NP (Pkt 10)	μSPEed, 3μm/Non-Porous SAX spherical, crosslinked polystyrene divinyl benzene
01-10156	μSPEed, PS/DVB SCX-3μm/ NP (Pkt 10)	μSPEed, 3μm/Non-Porous SCX spherical, crosslinked polystyrene divinyl benzene

SPEmx Cartridges (without valve)

Part No	Code	Sorbent Description
01-10205	SPEmx, C4-Silica/SPE (Pkt 10)	40-60μm C4 spherical silica
01-10209	SPEmx, C18-Silica/SPE (Pkt 10)	40-60μm C18 spherical silica
Carbon		
01-10275	SPEmx, 10μm Activated Carbon (Pkt 10)	10μm Activated Porous Carbon (Nitrosamine Analysis)

